

Information note on revision to existing documents - DVM

Danielle Magalhães
UNFCCC secretariat
JI Sub-Programme

<http://ji.unfccc.int>
dmagalhaes@unfccc.int

Seventeenth meeting of the
Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee
(JISC 17)

Kiev, Ukraine
10-11 September 2009



- The note **summarizes inputs** received on the DVM that may necessitate a **revision of existing JISC procedures, guidelines and forms**. Comments on formatting of the DVM were not included.
- **Inputs received from:** Climate Experts, International Emissions Trading Association (IETA), Japan Quality Assurance Organization (JQA), Mitsubishi UFJ Securities (Mitsubishi), TÜV Rheinland Immissionschutz und Energiesysteme GmbH, The World Bank, and the roundtable consultation.
- **35 inputs on 8 general topics** identified: baseline setting and monitoring, determination/verification requirements, guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, forms, micro projects, positive list, modalities of communication, and terms.

DVM | Highlights from the inputs

The overwhelming majority of which **(23)** pertain to **baseline setting and monitoring** and appear to suggest that further clarification in this area may be warranted. This would also implicate on changes on the guidelines for users of the PDD form and glossary of terms. Some other inputs:

- Project boundary and leakage;
- Approval of new methodologies (double approval process and approval by the host country);
- Clarification on changes on monitoring plan;
- Clarification on requirements in the Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form;
- New forms for determination and verification reports;
- Inclusion of micro-projects;
- Development of positive list of projects;
- MoC of an AIE and project participants and the third party(ies);
- Terms (e.g.; official translation to English).

Use of CDM methodologies in JI projects

Inputs from the call and the roundtable consultation ask for clarification on the use of CDM methodologies and “deviations” from CDM methodologies for baseline setting and monitoring.

The JISC may therefore wish to:

- Request the secretariat to revise the “Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form” to **avoid the term “deviation”** as it has a specific meaning under the CDM; and
- Request the secretariat to propose a revision of the “Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring” to clarify that a project participant may use:
 - **a JI-specific approach** that draws on any applicable portion of a CDM approved methodology so long as the applicability conditions are explained; or
 - **a CDM methodology in its totality.**